

United States Parent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/870,591	06/06/1997	HEINZ ESCH	08594327	7151
75	90 02/25/2002			
CUSHMAN DARBY & CUSHMAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP OF PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO 9TH FLOOR 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW			EXAMINER	
			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
	.,		1754	212
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
i	Office Action Commence	Application No. R10591 Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath th correspondence address –
P rio	i for Reply	0	
	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO IIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
fro - If : - Fa - Ar	tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 om the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stating reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail remails adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply within the statutory min expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ute, cause the application t	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Statu ⊠≀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is FINAL.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935		
	sition of Claims		
咨	Claim(s) (6-19	is/are pending in the application.	
(Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)		
	Claim(s) 6-19		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) (6-19) Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
-			is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
O C O C Applic	Claim(s)_Claim(s)_		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
	Claim(s)Claim(s)claim(s)claim(s)claim(s)claim(s)claim(s)claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
	Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Applic	Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Applid	Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Applic	Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic C C C C C C C C C	Claim(s) Claim(is □ approved ed to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic (Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
((((((((((Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic	Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) ceived. ceived in Application N	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic	Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) eceived. ceived in Application Notes the process of the process	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
((((((((((Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) eceived. ceived in Application N have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic Applic I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) eceived. ceived in Application N have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Applic Applic I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Claim(s)	is □ approved ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) eceived. ceived in Application N have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Application of the control of the co	Claim(s)	is approved ed to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) acceived. Application Not have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.

Application/Control Number: 08/870,591

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 18 and 19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. As claim 16 recites an aggregate size of less than 1.5 microns, and the particle fineness must by definition be smaller since aggregates are made up of many particles, these claims appear to expand the particle size range or at best be redundant.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lagarde et al.

This is essentially the same rejection made in the examiner's answer (incorporated herein by reference), further noting that the intended use does not limit the product claimed. The fineness is deemed possessed since the other properties appear to be- see also column 13 middle.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Johnson et al. '750.

This is essentially the same rejection made in the examiner's answer (incorporated herein by reference), further noting that the intended use does not limit the product claimed. The fineness is deemed possessed since the other properties appear to be; a size of 6 microns is taught.

Application/Control Number: 08/870,591

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Declaration is not persuasive since it is not a side-by-side comparison. The differences in the behavior in rubber could be due to some as yet unclaimed feature. There is no claim to the rubber having the unexpected properties shown. In response to the remaining arguments, it is noted that the rejections were affirmed in earlier proceedings, and the reasons for the affirmance are relied upon. The fineness is within the range taught by the references, even though the low values claimed are not explicitly recited. This is true especially in light of the rejection made under '112.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754